# CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION

#### **COMPLAINT NO. R2-2003-0098**

# MANDATORY MINIMUM PENALTIES IN THE MATTER OF SAUSALITO-MARIN CITY SANITARY DISTRICT SAUSALITO MARIN COUNTY

Pursuant to California Water Code Section 13385, this Complaint is issued to the Sausalito-Marin City Sanitary District (the Discharger) to assess mandatory minimum penalties (MMP), based on a finding of the Discharger's violations of the Waste Discharge Requirements contained in Order No. R2-2000-0060 (NPDES No. CA0038067).

The Executive Officer finds the following:

- 1. On July 19, 2000, the Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region (the Water Board) adopted Order No. R2-2000-0060 for the Discharger, to regulate discharges of waste from this treatment plant.
- 2. Water Code Section 13385(h)(1) requires the Water Board to assess an MMP of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for each serious violation.
- 3. Water Code Section 13385(h)(2) defines a "serious violation" as any waste discharge that violates the effluent limitations contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements for a Group II pollutant by 20% or more, or any waste discharge of a Group I pollutant that exceeds the effluent limitation by 40% or more.
- 4. Water Code Section 13385(i) requires the Water Board to assess an MMP of three thousand dollars (\$3,000) for each violation, not counting the first three violations, if the discharger does any of the following four or more times in any six consecutive months:
  - (a) Violates a waste discharge requirement effluent limitation.
  - (b) Fails to file a report pursuant to Section 13260.
  - (c) Files an incomplete report pursuant to Section 13260.
  - (d) Violates a toxicity discharge limitation contained in the applicable waste discharge requirements where the waste discharge requirements do not contain pollutant-specific effluent limitations for toxic pollutants.
- 5. <u>Effluent Limitations</u>: Order No. R2-2000-0060 includes the following applicable effluent limitations:

#### **EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS**

Effluent discharge shall not exceed the following limits:

SAUSALITO MMP R2-2003-0098

Total suspended solids: 30 mg/l monthly average

Total coliform 5-sample moving median: 240 MPN/100ml

Total Chlorine Residual: 0.0 mg/l

# 6. Summary of Effluent Limitation Violations:

Between February 2001 and March 2002, the Discharger had seven violations of its effluent limitations. These violations are: one total suspended solids monthly average violation, two chlorine residual violations, and four total coliform 5-sample moving median violations. The details of these limit violations are summarized in the attached Table 1.

# 7. <u>Total Chlorine Residual is a Group II pollutant</u>

The two chlorine residual violations are serious violations, as total chlorine residual is a Group II pollutant and the reported violations exceeded the effluent limitation by more than 20%. Each serious violation is subject to a \$3000 MMP under Section 13385(h)(1), for a total penalty of \$6,000 for the two violations.

## 8. Total Suspended Solids is a Group I pollutant

The single total suspended solids violation is not a serious violation, as total suspended solids is a Group I pollutant and the reported violation did not exceed the effluent limitation by more than 40%. This violation is the first violation in a six-month period.

# 9. Total Coliform is neither a Group I nor a Group II Pollutant

The four total coliform 5-sample moving median violations are non-serious violations. Because two of these violations are the fourth or more violations in a six-month period, two are subject to a \$3,000 MMP for each violation. The total MMP amount for these non-serious violations is \$6,000.

#### 10. Water Code Exception

Water Code Section 13385(j) provides for some exceptions related to the assessment of a MMP for effluent limit violations. None of the exceptions apply to the violations cited in this Complaint.

### 11. MMP Assessment

Four of the seven violations are subject to an MMP, as detailed in Table 1. The total MMP amount is \$12,000.

## 12. <u>Suspended MMP Amounts</u>

Water Code Section 13385(1) allows the Water Board, with the concurrence of the discharger, to direct a portion of the MMP amount to be expended on a supplemental environmental project (SEP) in accordance with the enforcement policy of the State Water Resources Control Board. If the penalty amount exceeds \$15,000, the maximum MMP amount that may be expended on a SEP may not exceed \$15,000 plus 50% of the MMP amount that exceeds \$15,000. Thus, the entire amount of the \$12,000 MMP in this

SAUSALITO MMP R2-2003-0098

complaint is eligible for SEP substitution. Any such amount expended to satisfactorily complete an SEP will be permanently suspended.

#### 13. SEP Categories

If the Discharger chooses to propose an SEP, the proposed SEP shall be in the following categories:

- 1. Pollution prevention;
- 2. Pollution reduction;
- 3. Environmental clean-up or restoration; or
- 4. Environmental education.

# THE SAUSALITO-MARIN SANITARY DISTRICT IS HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE THAT:

- 1. The Executive Officer proposes that the Discharger be assessed an MMP in the total amount of \$12,000.
- 2. The Water Board will hold a hearing on this Complaint on March 17, 2004, unless the Discharger waives the right to a hearing by signing the last page of this Complaint and checks the appropriate box. By doing so, the Discharger agrees to:
  - a. Pay the full penalty of \$12,000 within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective, or
  - b. Propose an SEP in an amount up to \$12,000. Pay the balance of the penalty within 30 days after the signed waiver becomes effective. The sum of the SEP amount and the amount of the fine to be paid to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account shall equal the full penalty of \$12,000.
- 3. If the Discharger chooses to propose an SEP, it must submit a preliminary proposal by 5:00 P.M., February 17, 2004, to the Executive Officer for conceptual approval. Any SEP proposal shall also conform to the requirements specified in Section IX of the Water Quality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the State Water Resources Control Board on February 19, 2002, and the attached Standard Criteria and Reporting Requirements for Supplemental Environmental Projects. If the proposed SEP is not acceptable to the Executive Officer, the Discharger has 30 days from receipt of notice of an unacceptable SEP to either submit a new or revised proposal, or to make a payment for the suspended penalty of \$12,000. All payments, including any money not used for the SEP, must be payable to the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Account. Regular reports on the SEP implementation shall be provided to the Executive Officer according to a schedule to be determined. The completion report for the SEP shall be submitted to the Executive Officer within 60 days of project completion.
- 4. The signed waiver will become effective on the next day after the public comment period for this Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public comments on this Complaint during the public comment period. If there are

- significant public comments, the Executive Officer may withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.
- 5. If a hearing is held, the Water Board may impose an administrative civil liability in the amount proposed or for a different amount; decline to seek civil liability, or refer the matter to the Attorney General to have a Superior Court consider imposition of a penalty.

 $\begin{tabular}{l} Table 1-Violations \\ Attachment A-Standard Criteria and Reporting Requirements for Supplemental Environmental Projects \end{tabular}$ 

SAUSALITO MMP R2-2003-0098

#### WAIVER

(The signed waiver will become effective on the next day after the public comment period for this Complaint is closed, provided that there are no significant public comments on this Complaint during the public comment period. If there are significant public comments, the Executive Officer may withdraw the Complaint and reissue it as appropriate.)

Waiver of the right to a hearing and agree to make part By checking this box, I agree to waive my right to a laborate with regard to the violations alleged in Complete complete a supplemental environmental project (Schiability up to \$12,000. I also agree to remit payment the State Water Pollution Cleanup and Abatement Acafter the signed waiver becomes effective. I understate shall also conform to the requirements specified in Schuality Enforcement Policy, which was adopted by the Control Board on February 19, 2002 and the attached Reporting Requirements for Supplemental Environment to the approval by the Executive Officer. If the SEP version, is not acceptable to the Executive Officer, I apenalty amount for the SEP within 30 days of a letter denying the approval of the proposed SEP. I understate right to be heard, and to argue against the allegations Officer in this Complaint, and against the imposition civil liability proposed. I further agree to satisfactori SEP within a time schedule set by the Executive Officer adequately complete the approved SEP will require suspended liability to the CAA.	nearing before the Water aint No. R2-2003-0098 a EP) in lieu of the suspent of the balance of the first count (CAA) within 30 and that the SEP proposate ection IX of the Water he State Water Resource I Standard Criteria and ental Projects, and be suproposal, or its revised agree to pay the suspend of from the Executive Officiand that I am giving up a made by the Executive of, or the amount of, the ly complete the approvencer. I understand that fa
---	--

Date

Title/Organization